arXiv:1604.06178v1 [math.GN] 21 Apr 2016

CONTINUOUS EXTENSION OF FUNCTIONS FROM COUNTABLE SETS

V.MYKHAYLYUK

Abstract. We give a characterization of countable discrete subspace A of a topological space X such that there exists a (linear) continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$. Using this characterization we answer two questions of A. Arhangel'skii. Moreover, we introduce the notion of well-covered subset of a topological space and prove that for well-covered functionally closed subset A of a topological space X there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p(A)$.

1. Introduction

For a topological space X we denote the space of all continuous function $y : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with the topology of pointwise convergence by $C_p(X)$, and the subspace of all continuous bounded function $y : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $C_p^*(X)$.

According to the well-known Tietze-Urysohn theorem, for a normal space X and a closed subset A of X there exists a mapping $\varphi : C(A) \to C(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C(A)$. The existence and properties (linearity, continuity with respect different topologies, etc.) of such extender $\varphi : C(A) \to C(X)$ for various classes of spaces X were investigated by many mathmeticians (see, for instance, [3], [2], [10], [11], [12], [5], [14] and literature given there). In particular, the existence of a linear continuous extender $\varphi : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$ for every closed subset A of a stratifiable space X was obtained in [2, Theorem 4.3] and the existence of such extender for every closed subset A of locally compact generalized ordered space X was proved in [5, Corollary 1]).

The following questions were published in [1] (see also Questions 4.10.3 and 4.10.11 from [13]).

Question 1.1. *Let X be a pseudocompact space such that for any countable set $A \subseteq X$ there exists a (linear) continuous map $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p(A)$. Must X be finite?*

Question 1.2. *Let X be the subspace of all weak P-points of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$. It is true that for any countable set $A \subseteq X$ there exists a (linear) continuous map $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p(A)$?*

A point x of a topological space X is called *an weak P-point* if $x \notin \overline{A}$ for every countable set $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C20, 54C35, Secondary 46E10, 54C05, 54C45.

Key words and phrases. extension property, linear extender, C-embedding, retract, stratifiable space, P-point, Stone-Čech compactification.

In this paper we give a characterization of countable discrete subspace A of a topological space X for which exists a (linear) continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$. Using this characterization we obtain the positive answer to Question 1.1 and the negative answer to Question 1.2. Moreover, we introduce the notion of well-covered subset of a topological space and prove that for well-covered functionally closed subset A of a topological space X there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$.

2. Countable C-embedding sets

The next property is probably well-known (see [10, Corollary 1]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a completely regular space and $A \subseteq X$ such that there exists a continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$. Then the set A is closed in X.*

Proof. Suppose that $x_0 \in \overline{A} \setminus A$. Let $y_0(x) = 0$ for every $x \in A$ and $z_0 = \varphi(y_0)$. Clearly that $z_0(x_0) = 0$. Consider the neighborhood $W_0 = \{z \in C_p(X) : z(x_0) < \frac{1}{2}\}$ of z_0 in $C_p(X)$ and choose an finite set $B \subseteq A$ such that $\varphi(y) \in W_0$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$ with $y|_B = y_0|_B$. We choose an open in X set G such that $B \subseteq G \cap A$ and $x_0 \notin \overline{G}$. There exists a continuous function $y \in C_p^*(A)$ such that $y(x) = 0$ for every $x \in B$ and $y(x) = 1$ for every $x \in A \setminus G$. It easy to see that $y|_B = y_0|_B$ and $\varphi(y) \notin W_0$, which implies a contradiction. □

A set A in a topological space X is called *strongly functionally discrete in X* if there exists a discrete family $(G_a; a \in A)$ of functionally open sets $G_a \ni a$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a topological space, $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ be a countable set, Y be a compact and $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a separately continuous function such that the continuous mapping $\varphi : Y \to C_p(A)$, $\varphi(y)(a) = f(a, y)$, is a homeomorphic embedding and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $y'_n, y''_n \in Y$ with $|f(a_k, y'_n) - f(a_k, y''_n)| \le \frac{1}{n+1}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $|f(a_k, y'_n) - f(a_k, y''_n)| \ge 1$ for all $k > n$. Then the set A is a strongly functionally discrete in X.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can supose that $Y \subseteq C_p(A)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$U_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \{x \in X : |f(x, y'_k) - f(x, y''_k)| > \tfrac{5}{6}\} \bigcap \{x \in X : |f(x, y'_n) - f(x, y''_n)| < \tfrac{2}{3}\}.$$

Clearly, $a_n \in U_n$ and U_n is functionally open in X for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We show that $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is discrete in X. Fix $x_0 \in X$. Since $Y \subseteq C_p(A)$ is a Hausdorff compact space and the mapping $f^{x_0} : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x_0, y') - f(x_0, y'')| < \frac{1}{2}$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $|y'(a_k) - y''(a_k)| < \frac{1}{n_0}$ for every $k = 1, \dots n_0$. Then for the neighborhood

$$U_0 = \{x \in X : |f(x, y'_{n_0}) - f(x, y''_{n_0}) < \tfrac{1}{2}|\}$$

of x_0 in X we have $U_0 \cap U_n = \emptyset$ for every $n > n_0$. Thus, $(U_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is locally finite in X. It remains to use that the sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the sets

$$F_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \{x \in X : |f(x, y'_k) - f(x, y''_k)| \ge \tfrac{5}{6}\} \bigcap \{x \in X : |f(x, y'_n) - f(x, y''_n)| \le \tfrac{2}{3}\}$$

is disjoint. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$ be a discrete countable subspace of X. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

(i) there exists a (linear) continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p^*(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$;

(ii) there exists a (linear) continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p(A)$;

(iii) there exists a (linear) continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$;

(iv) the set A is strongly functionally discrete in X.

Proof. The implications $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ and $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ are obvious.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$. Let $Y = C_p(A, \{0, 1\})$ and let $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$f(x, y) = \varphi(y)(x).$$

Clearly, f is separately continuous and the set A is strongly functionally discrete in X according to Proposition 2.2.

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ and $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Let $(G_a; a \in A)$ is a discrete family of functionally open sets $G_a \ni a$. For every $a \in A$ we choose a continuous mapping $\varphi_a : X \to [0, 1]$ with $\varphi_a^{-1}((0, 1]) = G_a$ and $\varphi_a(a) = 1$. Notice that the mapping $\varphi : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$,

$$\varphi(y)(x) = \sum_{a \in A} \varphi_a(x) y(a),$$

and its restriction $\varphi_{C_p^*(A)}$ are the required one. □

The following corollary gives the answers to Questions 1.1 and 1.2.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a topological space such that for every countable set $A \subseteq X$ there exists a continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$. Then*

(a) every discrete countable subspace A of X is a strongly functionally discrete set in X;

(b) if X is a T_2-space in which every locally finite system of functionally open sets is finite (in particular, if X is a pseudocompact) then X is finite;

(c) X does not equal to the space of all weak P-points in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$;

(d) if X is a completely regular space, then every countable subspace A of X is a strongly functionally discrete set in X.

Proof. The statement (a) follows immediately from Theorem 2.3.

(b). It is enough to note that any infinite T_2-space has a countable discrete subspace.

(c). According to [4] the space W of all weak P-points in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ is ultrapseudocompact, in particular, pseudocompact. Moreover, pseudocompactness of W easy follows from the next fact (see [6], [7]): *there exists a weak P-point z in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ which is not a P-point in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* (a point x of a topological space X is called *a P-point* if $x \notin \overline{A}$ for every F_σ-set $A \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$).

(d). According to Proposition 2.1, every countable subset $A \subseteq X$ is closed. It easy to see that every countable subset $A \subseteq X$ is discrete. Now it remains to use (a). □

Remark 2.5. *Since the closure $\overline{A}$ in $\beta\omega$ of a countable set $A \subseteq \beta\omega$ is homeomorphic to βA (see, for example,* [8, Corollary 3.2]*), every countable set $A \subseteq \beta\omega$ is C^*-embedding in $\beta\omega$. But for every infinite pseudocompact space $X \subseteq \beta\omega$ and every countable set $A \subseteq X$ does not exist a continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$ as follows from Corollary 2.4(b).*

A space X is called *a P-space* if every $x \in X$ is a P-point.

Remark 2.6. *It easy to see that every countable subset A of a completely regular P-space X is a strongly functionally discrete set in X. Hence, for every countable subset A there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$ according to Theorem 2.3.*

The following example shows that there exists a space X with this property which is not a P-space.

Example 2.7. *Let S be an uncountable set and X is the space of all function $x : S \to \{0,1\}$, i.e. $X = \{0,1\}^S$. Let $x_0(s) = 0$ for every $s \in S$. The set $X \setminus \{x_0\}$ is open subset of X equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the countable subsets $T \subseteq S$. Moreover, the sets*

$$U(T,B) = (\{0,1\}^T \setminus B) \times \{0,1\}^{S \setminus T},$$

where $T \subseteq S$ is a countable set and $B \subseteq \{0,1\}^T$ is a countable set with $x_0|_T \notin B$, form a base of neighborhoods of x_0 in X. It is easy to see that every countable set $A \subseteq X$ is strongly functionally discrete in X.

On other hand, let $\{t_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq S$ be a countable set. Then the set

$$G = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \{0\} \times \{0,1\}^{S \setminus \{t_n\}}$$

is a G_δ-set in X with $x_0 \in G$, but G is not a neighborhood of x_0. Thus, x_0 is not a P-point in X.

3. Continuous extension from closed sets

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a topological space, $A \subseteq X$, $F \subseteq X$ be a functionally closed set and $G \subseteq X$ be a functionally open set such that $A \subseteq F \subseteq G$ and A is a retract of G. Then there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p^*(X)$ such that $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$.*

Proof. Choose a retraction $r : G \to A$ and a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]$ such that $F \subseteq f^{-1}(1)$ and $X \setminus G \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$. It remains to put $\varphi(y)(x) = f(x) \cdot y(r(x))$ for every $x \in X$. □

Let X be a topological space and $\pi_X : X \to C_p(C_p(X))$, $\pi_X(x)(y) = y(x)$. We denote the linear subspace

$$L(X) = \{\alpha_1 \pi_X(x_1) + \cdots + \alpha_n \pi_X(x_n) : n \in \omega,\, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X,\, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

of $C_p(C_p(X))$ by $L(X)$. Analogously we put $\pi_X^* : X \to C_p(C_p^*(X))$, $\pi_X^*(x)(y) = y(x)$ and denote the linear subspace

$$L^*(X) = \{\alpha_1 \pi_X^*(x_1) + \cdots + \alpha_n \pi_X^*(x_n) : n \in \omega,\, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X,\, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

of $C_p(C_p^*(X))$ by $L^*(X)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Consider the following conditions*

(i) there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p(A)$;

(ii) there exists a continuous mapping $\psi : X \to L(A)$ with $\psi(a) = \pi_A(a)$ for every $a \in A$;

(iii) there exists a linear continuous mapping $\varphi : C_p^*(A) \to C_p(X)$ with $\varphi(y)|_A = y$ for every $y \in C_p^*(A)$;

(iv) there exists a continuous mapping $\psi : X \to L^*(A)$ with $\psi(a) = \pi_A^*(a)$ for every $a \in A$.

Then $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$. If A is homeomorphic to a topological vector space, then $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ and all conditions $(i) - (iv)$ are equivalent to the following condition

(v) A is a retract of X.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. We consider the continuous mapping $\psi : X \to C_p(C_p(A))$, $\psi(x)(y) = \varphi(y)(x)$. It remains to note that according to [9, Theorem IV.1.2], for every $x \in X$ there exists $z \in L(A)$ such that $\psi(x) = z$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. It is sufficient to put $\varphi(y)(x) = \psi(x)(y)$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in C_p(A)$.

The implication $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ can be proved similarly.

Let A is homeomorphic to a topological vector space. Without loss of the generality we can assume that A is a topological vector space. Then the implication $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii)$ follows immediately from the fact that the mapping $\phi : L(A) \to L^*(A)$, $\phi(z) = z|_{C_p^*(A)}$, is a homeomorphism. Moreover, the mapping $r : X \to A$,

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} a, & x \in X \setminus A \text{ and } \psi(x) = \pi_A(a) \\ x, & x \in A \end{cases}$$

is continuous. □

We say that a subset A of a topological space X is *a L-retract in X*, if there exists a continuous mapping $\psi : X \to L(A)$ with $\psi(a) = \pi_A(a)$ for every $a \in A$.

A subset A of a topological space X is called *well-covered in X*, if there exists a sequence of locally finite functionally open covers $(U(n,i) : i \in I_n)$ of A in X and sequence of families $(\lambda_{n,i} : i \in I_n)$ of continuous mappings $\lambda_{n,i} : \overline{U(n,i)} \to A$ such that for every $a \in A$ and every neighborhood U of a in A there exist a $n_0 \in \omega$ and a neighborhood U_0 of a in X such that $\lambda_{n,i}(U(n,i) \cap U_0) \subseteq U$ for every $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a topological space and A be an well-covered functionally closed subset of X. Then A is a L-retract in X.*

Proof. We choose a sequence of locally finite functionally open covers $(U(n,i) : i \in I_n)$ of A in X and sequence of continuous mappings $(\lambda_{n,i} : i \in I_n)$ of continuous mappings $\lambda_{n,i} : U(n,i) \to A$ which satisfy the condition from the definition of well-covered set. Note that every set $G_n = \bigcup\limits_{i \in I_n} U(n,i)$ is functionally open in X. For every $n \in \omega$ we choose a partition of the unit $(\phi_{n,i} : i \in I_n)$ on G_n which is subordinated to $(U(n,i) : i \in I_n)$. For a fixed $n \in \omega$ and $i \in I_n$ we consider the mapping $\mu_{n,i} : X \to L(A)$,

$$\mu_{n,i}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_{n,i}(x)\pi_A(\lambda_{n,i}(x)), & x \in U(n,i) \\ 0, & x \in X \setminus U(n,i). \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mu_{n,i}(x) = \phi_{n,i}(x)\pi_A(\lambda_{n,i}(x))$ for every $x \in \overline{U(n,i)}$. Therefore the restrictions of $\mu_{n,i}$ on the closed sets $\overline{U(n,i)}$ is continuous. Thus $\mu_{n,i}$ is continuous too.

Now we choose sequences of functionally open in X sets W_n and functionally closed in X sets F_n such that $A \subseteq F_{n+1} \subseteq W_n \subseteq F_n \subseteq G_n$ for every $n \in \omega$, and a sequence of continuous functions $\delta_n : X \to [0,1]$ such that $\delta_n(X \setminus W_n) = \{0\}$ and $\delta_n(F_{n+1}) = \{1\}$. Let the mapping $\psi_0 : X \to L(A)$ is defined by $\psi_0(x) = 0$. Moreover, for every $n \in \omega$ the mapping $\psi_n : G_n \to L(A)$ is defined by $\psi_n(x) = \sum\limits_{i \in I_n} \mu_{n,i}(x)$. Obviously, all mappings ψ_n are continuous. Now we consider the mapping $\psi : X \to L(A)$,

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_0(x), & x \in X \setminus W_1 \\ (1 - \delta_n(x))\psi_{n-1}(x) + \delta_n(x)\psi_n(x), & n \in \omega,\ x \in W_n \setminus W_{n+1} \\ \pi_X(x), & x \in A. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that ψ is continuous at every point $x \in X \setminus A = (X \setminus W_1) \cup \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (W_n \setminus W_{n+1})$.

Fix a point $x_0 \in A$ and show that ψ is continuous at x_0. It is sufficient to prove that for every $y \in C_p(X)$ there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that $|\psi(x)(y) - \psi(x_0)(y)| < 1$ for each $x \in U$.

Let $y_0 \in C_p(X)$ and U be a neighborhood of x_0 in X such that $|y_0(x) - y_0(x_0)| < 1$ for every $x \in U$. According to the choice of $U(n,i)$ and $\lambda_{n,i}$, there exist a $n_0 \in \omega$ and a neighborhood U_0 of x_0 such that $\lambda_{n,i}(U(n,i) \cap U_0) \subseteq U$ for every $n \geq n_0$. Then for every $n \geq n_0$ and $x \in U_0 \cap G_n$ we have

$$|\psi_n(x)(y_0) - \psi(x_0)(y_0)| = |\sum_{i \in I_n} \phi_{n,i}(x)\pi_A(\lambda_{n,i}(x))(y_0) - y_0(x_0)| =$$

$$= |\sum_{i \in I_n} \phi_{n,i}(x) y_0(\lambda_{n,i}(x)) - y_0(x_0)| \leq \sum_{i \in I_n} \phi_{n,i}(x)|y_0(\lambda_{n,i}(x)) - y_0(x_0)| <$$

$$< \sum_{i \in I_n} \phi_{n,i}(x) = 1.$$

Now it is easy to see that $|\psi(x)(y_0) - \psi(x_0)(y_0)| < 1$ for each $x \in U_0 \cap W_{n_0+1}$. $\square$

The following proposition shows that Theorem 3.3 is a generalization of Theorem 4.3 from [2].

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a stratifiable space. Then every closed in X set $A \subseteq X$ is well-covered in X.*

Proof. According to the definition of stratifiable space there exists a mapping G which assigns to each $n \in \omega$ and a closed subset $H \subseteq X$, an open set $G(n,H)$ contained H such that

(1) $H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{G(n,H)}$;

(2) $G(n,H) \subseteq G(n,K)$ for every closed subsets $H \subseteq K \subseteq X$ and $n \in \omega$.

Note that without loss of the generality we may assume that $G(n+1,H) \subseteq G(n,H)$ for all $n \in \omega$ and all open sets $H \subseteq X$. Let A be a closed subset of X. For every $n \in \omega$ we choose a locally finite in X open refinement $(U(n,i) : i \in I_n)$ of $(G(n,\{x\}) : x \in A)$. For every $n \in \omega$ and $i \in I_n$ we choose $x(n,i) \in A$ with $U(n,i) \subseteq G(n,\{x(n,i)\})$ and put $\lambda_{n,i}(x) = x(n,i)$ for every $x \in \overline{U(n,i)}$. We show

that sequences of covers $(U(n,i) : i \in I_n)$ and families $(\lambda_{n,i} : i \in I_n)$ satisfy the condition from the definition of well-covered set.

Let $x_0 \in A$ and U be an open neighborhood of x_0. We choose $n_0 \in \omega$ such that $x_0 \notin \overline{G(n_0, X \setminus U)}$ and put $U_0 = X \setminus \overline{G(n_0, X \setminus U)}$. Let $n \geq n_0$ and $i \in I_n$ with $U(n,i) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$. Since $U(n,i) \subseteq G(n, \{x(n,i)\})$, $G(n, \{x(n,i)\}) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $G(n, \{x(n,i)\}) \not\subseteq G(n_0, X \setminus U)$. Taking into account that $G(n, X \setminus U) \subseteq G(n_0, X \setminus U)$ we obtain that $G(n, \{x(n,i)\}) \not\subseteq G(n, X \setminus U)$. Thus, $x_{n,i} \in U$ according to (2). □

Remark 3.5. *The Sorgenfrey line* $\mathbb{S}$ *is an example of a perfectly normal non-stratifiable space in which every closed subset is well-covered in* $\mathbb{S}$.

References

1. Arhangel'skii A.V. *Cp-theory*, Recent Progress in General Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, 156.
2. Borges C.J.R. *On stratifiable spaces* Pacif. J. Math., **1**, 17 (1966), 1-16.
3. Dugundji J. *An extension of Tietze's theorem* Pacif. J. Math., **1** (1951), 353-367.
4. Garsia-Ferreira S., Ortis-Castillo Y.F. *The subspace of weak P-points of* $\mathbb{N}^*$ Comm. Math. Univ. Caroline, **56**(2) (2015), 231-236.
5. Gruenhage G., Hattori Y., Ohta H. *Dugundji extenders and retracts on generalized ordered spaces* Fund. Math. **158** (1998), 147-164.
6. Kunen K. *Weak P-points in N** Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, vol. 23, Topology (Budapest, 1978), 741-749.
7. van Mill J. *Weak P-points in compact F-spaces* Top. Proc. **4** (1979), 609-628
8. Mykhaylyuk V. *On questions which are connected with Talagrand problem* Mat. Studii, **29**, 1 (2008), 81-88. (http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.03163).
9. Schaefer H.H. *Topological vector spaces* Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1986.
10. Sennot I. *A necessary condition for a Dugundji extension property* Top. Proc. **2** (1977), 265-280.
11. Stares I.S. *Concerning the Dugundji extension property* Top. Appl. **63** (1995), 165-172.
12. Stares I.S., Vaughan J.E. *The Dugundji extension property can fail in* ω_μ*-metrizable spaces* Fund. Math. **150** (1996), 11-16.
13. V. Tkachuk, *A* C_p*-Theory Problem Book: Topological and Function Spaces (Problem Books in Mathematics)*, Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London (2011), 485.
14. Yamazaki K. *Absolute weak C-embedding in Hausdorff spaces* Top. Appl. **131**, 3 (2003), 273-279.

Department of Mathematics, Chernivtsi National University, str. Kotsjubyn'skogo 2, Chernivtsi, 58012 Ukraine

E-mail address: vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net